

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,997	10/02/2003	Hiroyuki Maruyama	00862.023265	7794
5514 75	90 09/26/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRIC	K CELLA HARPER	KIM, PETER B		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW TORK, I	NI 10112		2851	

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/675,997	MARUYAMA, HIROYUKI	1		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter B. Kim	2851			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			-		
Period fo	· •					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTH STORM THE MONTH STORM THE MONTH STATE OF THE MONTH STATE	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communicated the c			
Status						
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	uaust 2005.				
· · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
· _	Claim(s) 11-16 is/are pending in the application	า				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 11-16 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	?1(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	<u>:</u> .		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
·	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have beer	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmen						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔀 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 1 2005		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed on Aug. 10, 2005 have been fully considered.

Claim Objections

Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: the written description does not seem to describe a jacket adequately. The structure of the jacket in relation to the motor and the adjustment system is unclear. Also, the jacket is not shown in the drawings. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 11, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Emoto et al. (Emoto) (2003/0007136).

Emoto discloses an exposure apparatus comprising a stage to hold one of the substrate and the reticle (10), a motor (1) to drive the stage having a coil (1b) and a jacket to cover the coil and a first adjustment system to adjust temperature of the motor having a flow path of pure water (3) through and an impurity removing unit (42, 43, 44), arranged upstream to the jacket in the flow path to remove and impurity in the pure water (Fig. 1 and abstract). Emoto also discloses a detection unit (27) to detect a temperature of pure water, and adjustment unit (46) to adjust a temperature and a controller to controller the adjustment unit (para 0055-0059) and a projection optical system (97).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emoto et al.

(Emoto) in view of Shima (2002/0057424).

Emoto discloses the claimed invention as discussed above; however, Emoto does not

disclose a chamber in which the substrate is exposed and a second adjustment system which as a

flow path of a coolant to adjust temperature of gas. Shima discloses the chamber (71), filter 46,

a second adjustment system which has a flow path of a coolant (43) to adjust temperature of the

gas (para 0121-0123). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time of the invention to provide the second adjustment system of Shima to the invention of

Emoto in order to regulate the temperature in the chamber where the exposure takes place as

taught by Shima in para 0121.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emoto et al.

(Emoto) in view of Ota (2002/0017616).

Emoto discloses the claimed invention as discussed above, however, Emoto does not

disclose a third adjustment system which has a flow path of a coolant to adjust temperature of the

projection optical system. Ota discloses a third adjustment system which has a flow path of a

Art Unit: 2851

coolant to adjust temperature of the projection optical system (Fig. 1, para 0043). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the adjustment system of Ota to the invention of Emoto in order to prevent deterioration of the optical system due to heat as taught by Ota in para 0042.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter B. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2120. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,997 Page 5

Art Unit: 2851

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter B. Kim
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2851

September 20, 2005